# ANU Student Managed Fund

# Asset allocation recommendation: Semester 2, 2023

Creation date: 07/09/2023 | Version date: 26/09/2023 (FINAL)

# Contents

Glossary	
1 Asset allocation recommendation	4
1.1 Proposed target weights   1.2 Key Metrics	4
2 Rationale for the recommendation	7
3 Foundations of the investment thesis	7
3.1 Scenario Modelling	8
3.2 Qualitative Adjustments	9
3.2.1 PC Model Optimized AA weightings	9
3.2.2 Equity weights	9
3.2.3 Defensive Asset weights	10
3.3 Conclusion	10
4 Appendices	11
4.1 Appendix A: Graphs Related to Foreign Currency	11
4.2 Appendix B: Graphs Related to the Defensive Portfolio	12
4.3 Appendix C: Years 3 and 10 Asset Model Assumptions, Inputs and Forecasts	14
4.3.1 Inflation	14
4.3.2 GDP/Potential	14
4.3.3 Australian Equities	15
4.3.4 International Equities	16
4.3.5 Emerging Markets	17
4.3.6 Australian Fixed Income	
4.3.7 Australian Cash	
4.4 Appendix D: Nominal Horizon Asset Returns	19
4.5 Appendix E: Detailed Portfolio Weights	20
4.6 Appendix F: Macro Driver Assumptions and Inputs	20
References	
Contact details	

#### Disclaimer:

This report is made available for the sole purpose of demonstrating the analysis undertaken by students enrolled in the University's Student Managed Fund and its related courses, and has been prepared by students who are not licensed to provide financial product advice under the Corporations Act 2001. The information provided does not constitute, and should not be relied upon as financial product advice. For financial product advice that takes account of particular objectives, financial situation and needs, readers should consult an Australian Financial Services licensee.

# Glossary

AA – Asset Allocation AAE – Active Australian Equities AC – Australian Cash AE – Australian Equities AFI – Australian Fixed Income AUD – Australian Dollar **DM** – Developed Markets DM,H – Developed Markets, Hedged **DM,U** – Developed Markets, Unhedged **EM** – Emerging Markets ETF – Exchange Traded Fund FC – Foreign Currency **GDP** – Gross Domestic Product IRD – Interest Rate Differential  $PC- \text{Portfolio}\ Construction$ **PE** – Price to Earnings  ${\bf RBA}-{\bf Reserve}\ {\bf Bank}\ {\bf of}\ {\bf Australia}$ **ROE** – Return on Equity  $SMF-Student\ Managed\ Fund$ US – the United States USD - US Dollar VGAD - Vanguard MSCI Index International Shares (Hedged) ETF VGS - Vanguard MSCI Index International Shares ETF

# 1 Asset allocation recommendation

The Asset Allocation (AA) team of the Student Managed Fund (SMF) recommends an increase in the target weight of Developed Markets, Unhedged (DM,U), from 5% to 10% of the total portfolio, funded by a decrease in the target weight of Developed Markets, Hedged (DM,H), from 10% to 5% of the total portfolio. This proposed change is an outcome of the implementation and interpretation of the AA team's Foreign Currency (FC) Model.

# 1.1 Proposed target weights

The following changes are being proposed to the SMF's target strategic asset allocation:

- Increase the DM,U target weighting from 5% to 10% (returning it to the reference portfolio weighting) by purchasing the Vanguard MSCI Index International Shares Exchange Traded Fund (ETF) (VGS)
- Decrease the DM,H target weighting from 10% to 5% (an underweighting of 5% versus the reference portfolio) by selling the Vanguard MSCI Index International Shares (Hedged) ETF (VGAD)

The proposed asset allocation changes shift the weightings within the growth portion of the AA portfolio, leaving the growth/defensive target weights at 80%/20% in line with the reference portfolio. The structure of the defensive portion is proposed to remain unchanged, with target weights of 15% in Australian Fixed Income (AFI) and 5% in Australian Cash (AC).

Asset classes	<b>Reference portfolio</b>	Current target portfolio	Current actual portfolio	Proposed target portfolio
Australian Equity (AE)	60%	55%	53.77%	55%
International Equity	20%	25%	26.13%	25%
DM,H	10%	10%	10.89%	5%
DM,U	10%	5%	5.53%	10%
Emerging Markets (EM)	0%	10%	9.71%	10%
AFI	15%	15%	14.23%	15%
AC (including accruals)	5%	5%	5.87%	5%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%

## Figure 1: Portfolio Weights

## **1.2 Key Metrics**

Figures 2 and 3 present the projected 3-year and 10-year real returns for each asset class, respectively. Figures 4 and 5 report changes in real portfolio value and key portfolio metrics over 3 and 10 years, respectively, including the expected portfolio value, accounting for distributions.

Scenarios				3-year expected real return (% p.a.)					
No.	Inflation	Growth / potential	Probability	AE	DM,H	DM,U	EM	AFI	AC
1	High	High	5%	7.23	3.43	-0.81	5.93	-1.94	0.61
2	High	Medium	16%	2.37	0.98	1.75	2.74	-1.55	0.35
3	High	Low	3%	-5.15	-1.02	2.09	1.12	-0.93	0.19
4	Medium	High	12%	7.48	5.09	0.79	9.36	-0.02	-0.16
5	Medium	Medium	28%	1.30	3.12	3.91	6.44	0.72	-0.24
6	Medium	Low	14%	-1.33	1.49	4.67	4.55	1.06	-0.36
7	Low	High	2%	4.55	6.51	2.15	8.91	1.39	-0.66
8	Low	Medium	5%	0.56	4.07	4.86	6.61	2.27	-0.65
9	Low	Low	10%	-3.80	1.32	4.49	3.23	2.63	-0.77
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	-13.37	-4.00	0.71	-1.79	-2.70	0.42
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	-23.15	-3.38	1.36	-4.33	2.48	-1.48
	Probability-W	eighted	100%	0.75	2.32	2.97	5.08	0.42	-0.20

Figure 2: Asset class 3-year expected real returns under 11 scenarios

Figure 3: Asset class 10-year expected real returns under 11 scenarios

	S	cenarios			10-year	expected r	eal return	(% p.a.)	
No.	Inflation	Growth / potential	Probability	AE	DM,H	DM,U	EM	AFI	AC
1	High	High	5%	6.40	3.58	-0.66	6.26	-0.01	1.87
2	High	Medium	16%	3.84	0.78	1.56	3.04	-0.19	1.18
3	High	Low	3%	0.04	-1.93	1.14	1.30	-0.79	0.66
4	Medium	High	12%	8.33	5.86	1.53	9.66	1.37	1.01
5	Medium	Medium	28%	5.68	3.63	4.42	7.10	0.95	0.55
6	Medium	Low	14%	4.64	1.61	4.80	5.37	0.75	0.13
7	Low	High	2%	9.58	7.03	2.65	9.56	2.47	0.18
8	Low	Medium	5%	6.70	4.49	5.29	7.72	2.05	-0.16
9	Low	Low	10%	3.90	1.56	4.74	4.53	1.77	-0.49
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	-4.43	-6.81	-2.24	-3.30	-1.24	1.01
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	-0.77	-3.29	1.45	-4.76	1.99	-0.91
	Probability-W	eighted	100%	5.22	2.74	3.28	5.93	0.88	0.55

Scenarios				Expected change in real portfolio value (% p.a.)			
No.	Inflation	Growth /	Probability	Reference	Current	Proposed	
		potential		portfolio	portfolio	portfolio	
1	High	High	5%	-0.35	-0.09	-0.30	
2	High	Medium	16%	-3.09	-3.02	-2.99	
3	High	Low	3%	-7.47	-7.22	-7.07	
4	Medium	High	12%	0.34	0.84	0.63	
5	Medium	Medium	28%	-2.99	-2.63	-2.59	
6	Medium	Low	14%	-4.54	-4.26	-4.11	
7	Low	High	2%	-0.90	-0.37	-0.57	
8	Low	Medium	5%	-3.03	-2.66	-2.62	
9	Low	Low	10%	-5.78	-5.50	-5.35	
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	-12.84	-12.40	-12.18	
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	-17.66	-17.04	-16.81	
	Probability-w	veighted	100%	-3.59	-3.27	-3.25	
			Year 3 real	portfolio metrics	·		
Expected portfolio value			0.8962	0.9050	0.9057		
Probability of shortfall			88.00%	88.00%	88.00%		
	Exp	ected shortfall	l	-10.51%	-9.81%	-9.66%	
	Ex	spected utility		-0.2010	-0.1821	-0.1774	

Figure 4: Expected	l real portfolio	value after 3	vears (allowing	for distributions)
I Igui e Il Empectet	· i cui poi nomo	ranae areer e	yours (uno ming	ioi anotinoationo,

Note: Shortfall is measured relative to a target of maintaining the real value of the portfolio after distributions.

Figure 5: Expected real	portfolio value after 1	) vears (allowin	g for distributions)
rigure 3. Expected real	por a ono varac araci r	y cars (and win	g tot unsu indudons)

Scenarios			Expected change in real portfolio value (% p.a.)			
No.	Inflation	Growth /	Probability	Reference	Current	Proposed
		potential		portfolio	portfolio	portfolio
1	High	High	5%	-0.46	-0.14	-0.34
2	High	Medium	16%	-2.04	-2.01	-1.97
3	High	Low	3%	-4.62	-4.55	-4.40
4	Medium	High	12%	1.23	1.68	1.47
5	Medium	Medium	28%	-0.31	-0.11	-0.07
6	Medium	Low	14%	-1.10	-1.04	-0.89
7	Low	High	2%	2.29	2.62	2.41
8	Low	Medium	5%	0.58	0.74	0.78
9	Low	Low	10%	-1.40	-1.38	-1.23
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	-7.97	-7.97	-7.75
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	-4.54	-5.02	-4.79
	Probability-w	veighted	100%	-0.82	-0.65	-0.63
			Year 10 rea	l portfolio metrics		
Expected portfolio value			0.9214	0.9370	0.9384	
Probability of shortfall			81.00%	81.00%	81.00%	
	Exp	ected shortfall		-10.22%	-9.47%	-8.99%
	Ex	pected utility		-0.1952	-0.1826	-0.1718

Note: Shortfall is measured relative to a target of maintaining the real value of the portfolio after distributions.

# 2 Rationale for the recommendation

This section elucidates the rationale behind the proposal to alter the weights of DM,U and DM,H, as DM,U is poised to yield more attractive returns when accounting for risk. According to the analysis of the AA team, the expected returns of Developed Markets (DM) over the 10-year investment horizon is lower than those forecasted for AE and EM, but the AA team sees diversification benefits from continuing to hold 15% of the growth portfolio in DM equities. The AA team's recommendation of switching 5% from DM,H to DM,U is supported by the quantitative analysis via the PC Model assuming that the Australian Dollar (AUD) is to remain relatively weak for the foreseeable future.

After incorporating the FC model into the PC model, it is evident that DM,U significantly reduces overall variance while still providing exposure to DM. More specifically, although DM,U embeds more currency risk, it allows the portfolio to benefit from developed markets' securities. Consequently, the AA team advocates increasing the weighting of DM,U from 5% to 10%. This position is supported by the PC Model's outputs, which assign higher utility to the proposed asset allocation between DM,H and DM,U over the current allocation. This preference is driven by both the higher probability-weighted return of DM,U over DM,H and the reduced forecasted variance resulting from holding an asset in USD against the AUD's historical risk-on nature.

The AA team's anticipation of weak AUD favours the outputs. First and foremost, the Federal Reserve's interest rate hikes have been more pronounced than those of the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA), further increasing the interest rate differential (IRD) between these two currencies (Appendix A Figure 8), making United States (US) dollardenominated assets more appealing as safe-haven investments and thereby reducing the demand for the AUD overseas (Venz, 2023). This is reflected in the FC Model output where the computed IRD in favour of the United States Dollar (USD) plays a material role in forecasting the weaker terminal AUD/USD exchange rate.

Secondly, Australia's economic dependence on China is well-documented, with the relationship between Australian commodity prices and the strength of the AUD forming a cornerstone of the AA team's FC Model. Evidently, China's predicted economic recovery has not materialised as expected, with particular pain points in the housing sector flowing on and impacting the expected recovery in demand for Australian iron ore, further delaying the appreciation of the AUD (Australian Financial Review, 2023).

Over the longer term, in line with consensus forecasts, although the AA team sees a potential recovery in the AUD across higher Gross Domestic Product (GDP) scenarios, baseline outcomes are expected to be broadly similar to the AUD's recent historical performance (Appendix A Figure 9). Although it would suggest that the AUD is trading more towards the lower half of its historic band, the AA team's analyses indicate that the aforementioned factors leave further room for the exchange rate to deteriorate. This outlook provides the basis for the AA team advocating for the changes in the respective weightings of DM,U and DM,H. The model shows that although DM,U is forecasted to outperform DM,H across a cumulative 81% of the scenario states (Appendix A Figure 10), there are scenarios in which DM,H is forecasted to outperform (scenarios 1, 4, and 7). Thus, the AA team is not recommending for the SMF's entire DM exposure to be through DM,U.

# 3 Foundations of the investment thesis

The AA team's process begins by considering the optimised asset weights generated by our model. We then incorporate qualitative adjustments to formulate our suggested asset allocation. Our PC model suggests a growth/defensive weight of 100/0, with the growth component divided into 40% in Australian Equities (AE) and 60% in Emerging Markets (EM). The allocation of 40% to AE aligns with the lower constraint on AE weights specified in the SMF's Investment Policy Statement, to allow for the Active Australian Equities (AAE) team's continued individual stock analyses. The AA team wishes to stress that the model serves as a quantitative tool to guide our asset allocation decisions, rather than making them outright. The following discussion outlines the steps the AA team has taken to transition from the 'optimised' weights to the proposed weights.

# 3.1 Scenario Modelling

The 'AA Investment Process' entails specifying 3- and 10-year scenario projections and probabilities across both economic drivers and asset class inputs; with the latter being fed into the DCF-based asset models to generate wealth and return paths for each scenario. Below is the discussion of the most significant deviations from historical data in our 3- and 10-year forecasts that have influenced our modelling and thus proposed asset allocation. Details on the projections can be found in the Appendices.

The AA team refers to historical data to inform its PC Model, which is based on classifying the economic drivers of inflation and the output gap (measured by actual GDP to potential GDP) into scenarios. These scenarios consist of inflation-output gap pairings contingent on the historical series with qualitative adjustment based on AA team analysis. The drivers are translated into asset class inputs, with the main inputs being PE, Return on Equity (ROE), bond yields and cash rates. The AA team undertakes analysis and applies judgement in translating the asset class inputs into forward projections across each of the 11 scenarios. The key considerations underpinning the qualitative adjustments to the historical series are discussed below.

Based on work completed at the start of the semester there were several changes made to the probabilities of the 11 scenarios (Figure 6) compared to historical averages (Figure 7). Firstly, there was a slight decrease in the probability of the crisis scenario from 3.5% to 3% compared to last semester. This reflected AA's view that there are still significant uncertainties in the global environment as mentioned earlier in the report however slightly less than last semester.

Secondly, there was a decrease in the High GDP scenario from 24.5% to 19%. This is because of the AA team's belief that major developed economies are on track to achieve a soft landing with their concurrent rate rises that have occurred since May of 2022. Thus, although each passing month seems to increase the likelihood of avoiding recession, the AA team's expectations of breakout GDP growth has also tapered. The AA team is of the opinion that the probabilities associated with the economy achieving middling results has increased and have consequently reflected this belief in our scenario state probabilities. Also important to note is that the high and medium inflation ranges are lower than historical average due to the introduction of the stagflation scenario.

Scenario	GDP/Potential					
		High	Medium	Low	Stagflation	Crisis
		1.015	0.995	0.980	0.950	0.935
	High	5.0%	16.0%	3.0%		
	4.5% Medium				-	
Inflation	2.5%	12.0%	28.0%	14.0%		
Inflation	<b>Low</b> 1%	2.0%	5.0%	10.0%		
	Stagflation 7%				2.0%	
	Crisis 0%					3.0%

#### Figure 6: Forecasted Scenario Probabilities

Scenario	GDP/Potential					
Inflation		<b>High</b> 1.017	<b>Medium</b> 1.002	Low 0.989		
	High 6.1%	6.2%	15.0%	1.8%		
	Medium 3%	15.0%	25.7%	12.4%		
	<b>Low</b> 1.6%	3.5%	9.7%	10.6%		

#### Figure 7: Historical Scenario Probabilities (1992 - Present)

## 3.2 Qualitative Adjustments

This section outlines the considerations taken by the AA team that are not fully captured within the modelling.

## 3.2.1 PC Model Optimized AA weightings

The unconstrained 3- and 10-year PC models allocate 100% weighting to growth asset classes, with a 60% weighting in EM and the remaining weighting in AE. The optimiser is providing corner solutions and is influenced by the increase in probability attributed to the high inflation scenarios and related outperformance by EM and AE. The discussion below sets out the AA team's reasoning for deviating from the optimised weights towards the proposed target weights.

#### 3.2.2 Equity weights

The AA team maintains a 55% allocation to AE. This allocation not only allows the AAE team to actively trade individual shares but also underscores the attractiveness of the stable and mature operating environment typically seen in Australian stocks. This aligns with the SMF's conservative return strategy, where we prioritise safeguarding capital against losses. Additionally, the SMF's status as a tax-free investor is worth reiterating, with significant benefits associated with the full redemption of any received franking credits, enhancing the returns available to AE.

The AA team forecasts that EM will slightly outperform AE over a 10-year horizon, with annual real returns of 5.93% and 5.22%, respectively. EM's superior return potential against AE is forecasted in most scenarios, except for scenarios 1 and 2, and the crisis scenario, which is attributed to the different forward price to earnings (PE) input in the PC Model. AA expects EM to trade at 13.70x forward PE over long term, whereas AE is expected to trade at 15.0x times.

Despite the projected outperformance of EM, the AA team does not recommend an additional incremental weight increase towards EM due to the risks not captured by the historical data-based PC Model analysis. The biggest concern is regarding China's weak recovery. From the AA team's macroeconomic outlook research, China's real estate market is in an overtly weakened state, with many interested parties awaiting further news. This development has effectively served to undermine one of the primary engines of China's historical economic growth over the past several decades. Additionally, the persistently high youth unemployment rate and low consumer confidence in China, combined with the delayed implementation of an attempted structural transformation towards a more consumption-driven economy suggests that there is a real risk the Chinese economy may no longer be the reliable driving force of EM growth as it has been in the past (Australian Financial Review, 2023).

Geopolitical risk is also a concern that makes the AA team less optimistic about EM than the model may suggest. Since the addition of EM to the SMF portfolio, substantial political developments in the Asian region have been overwhelmingly negative. Of singular importance is the continued deterioration of US-China relations, which has manifested through an escalation of the US-China trade war, and the related tech ban. The AA team anticipates ongoing future instability in East Asia as the power struggle between the US and China escalates, with increasing political risk arising from a breakdown of communication channels and a multitude of issues from which neither country is willing to compromise, particularly the Taiwan problem. These factors, in combination with the index's heavy exposure to the East Asian region, including 30% weighting to China, 15% to Taiwan, and 13% to South Korea, makes the AA team hesitant to recommend a greater allocation to EM.

Nevertheless, given the PC Model's projected high returns, and diversification benefits associated with holding EM, the AA team still advocates to maintain a 10% holding of EM. This is consistent with Goldman's analysis that changes in earnings per share in China showed minimal or no correlation with those in the rest of the world over the past three years (Sivabalan, 2023). Additionally, other economies, including India, Indonesia, Brazil, and Mexico, are poised for growth in the coming years, fuelled by demographic advantages, metals production for electric vehicles, nearshoring trends, and increased foreign direct investment, potentially starting a recovery phase in the latter half of 2023, barring a global recession (Lazard Asset Management, 2023).

## 3.2.3 Defensive Asset weights

AA team forecasts that the defensive portfolio comprised of AFI and AC will underperform the growth portfolio over the prediction periods, with negative real returns after taking distributions into account. However, the AA team proposes that the SMF should maintain the defensive asset weights at their strategic level (AFI at 15% and AC at 5%) due to the considerations related to liquidity risk, diversification benefits and the macroeconomic outlook (Appendix B Figures 11 and 12). According to the ANU SMF Charter and Governance Structure document, liquidity risk should be taken into consideration, and the SMF should maintain an adequate cash reserve to meet distribution requirements. The accrued franking credits of SMF are recognized as cash, meaning that the SMF's actual cash balance is less than its accounting value. Moreover, fixed income assets enhance portfolio diversification and consequently reduces overall portfolio risk. For instance, defensive assets tend to perform well during crisis periods compared to other asset classes based on PC model. Also, AC and AFI perform better than all other asset classes historically in the stagflation scenario. Lastly, defensive portfolio allows the SMF to gain any benefits arising from future rate cuts. AA team assume that RBA will reduce its cash rate starting from the beginning of 2024. As a result, if Government bond yields decrease, the price of the bond will rise, resulting in higher returns for defensive assets. As seen in Appendix B Figure 13, for instance, the price of VGB-ASX is currently near its lowest level in the past decade, approximately 8.8% lower than the 10-year average, indicating that there are sufficient room for price appreciation.

## **3.3 Conclusion**

The AA team is recommending that the weighting of DM,U be increased from 5% to 10% of the total portfolio. This increase is to be funded by an equivalent reduction in the weighting of DM,H from 10% to 5% of the total portfolio, with all other target weights within the portfolio remaining unchanged. The investment thesis underpinning this recommendation is the combination of the quantitative output of the PC Model suggesting sustained weakness in the AUD/USD trajectory, as well as qualitative macroeconomic analysis lending further credence to this position.

# 4 Appendices

# 4.1 Appendix A: Graphs Related to Foreign Currency

Figure 8: Australian – United States Interest Rate Differential (IRD) (1993 – present)



Figure 9: AUD to USD Exchange rate (1993 – present)







# 4.2 Appendix B: Graphs Related to the Defensive Portfolio









Figure 13: Price of VGB-ASX (2012 – present)



# 4.3 Appendix C: Years 3 and 10 Asset Model Assumptions, Inputs and Forecasts

# 4.3.1 Inflation

## **Figure 14: Australian Inflation Targets**

Scenario	Year 3 Inflation	Year 10 Inflation
High	4.2%	4.5%
Medium	2.9%	2.5%
Low	2.0%	1.0%
Stagflation	5.0%	7.0%
Crisis	2.0%	0.0%

## 4.3.2 GDP/Potential

## Figure 15: Australian GDP/Potential Targets

Scenario	Year 3 GDP/Potential	Year 10 GDP/Potential
High	0.998	1.015
Medium	0.990	0.995
Low	0.986	0.980
Stagflation	0.976	0.950
Crisis	0.960	0.935

#### 4.3.3 Australian Equities



#### Figure 16: Return on Equity (ROE) of Australian Equities

Historical Data and Future Targets

Note: Historical data is reported on ROE and forecasts are ROE on existing operations. Data is from the DataStream Australian Market series.

Figure 17: Price to Earnings (PE) ratio of Australian Equities





Note: This chart shows all available history for the AE PE ratio based on the DataStream Australian market series.

#### 4.3.4 International Equities

Figure 18: Return on Equity (ROE) of International Equities





Note: Historical data is reported on ROE and forecasts are ROE on existing operations. Data is from the Datastream Total World series.



Historical Data and Future Targets



Note: This chart shows all available history for the Developed Markets PE ratio based on the DataStream Total World series.

#### 4.3.5 Emerging Markets

#### Figure 20: Return on Equity (ROE) of Emerging Markets

Historical Data and Future Targets



Note: Historical data is reported on ROE and forecasts are ROE on existing operations. The data is from the DataStream Emerging Market series.

Figure 21: Price to Earnings (PE) ratio of Emerging Markets

Historical Data and Future Targets



Note: This chart shows all available history for the EM PE ratio based on the DataStream Emerging Market series.

#### 4.3.6 Australian Fixed Income

#### Figure 22: Seven Year Bond Yield

Historical Data and Future Targets



Notes: This chart shows history from 1992 as this is considered the most representative period. A proxy for 7-year bond yields is formed by interpolating between 5-year and 10-year government bond yields as reported by the Reserve Bank of Australia.

## 4.3.7 Australian Cash



Figure 23: Australian Official Cash Rate

Historical Data and Future Targets

Note: This chart shows history from 1992 as this is considered the most representative period. Cash rate data is sourced from the Reserve Bank of Australia.

# 4.4 Appendix D: Nominal Horizon Asset Returns

Scenarios				Year 3 Expected Nominal Horizon Return under 11 Scenarios (% p.a.)					
No.	Inflation	Growth / potential	Probability	Australian equities (AE)	Developed markets hedged (DM,H)	Developed markets unhedged (DM,U)	Emerging markets (EM)	Australian fixed income (AFI)	Australian cash (AC)
1	High	High	5%	12.24	8.25	3.82	10.88	2.64	5.30
2	High	Medium	16%	7.14	5.69	6.50	7.53	3.05	5.03
3	High	Low	3%	-0.72	3.60	6.85	5.84	3.69	4.86
4	Medium	High	12%	11.71	9.24	4.77	13.68	3.92	3.78
5	Medium	Medium	28%	5.14	7.04	7.86	10.48	4.54	3.55
6	Medium	Low	14%	2.42	5.35	8.65	8.52	4.89	3.42
7	Low	High	2%	8.15	10.17	5.66	12.65	4.88	2.76
8	Low	Medium	5%	3.77	7.39	8.22	10.02	5.54	2.53
9	Low	Low	10%	-0.72	4.56	7.83	6.53	5.91	2.40
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	-8.86	1.00	5.95	3.32	2.36	5.65
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	-20.70	0.87	5.81	-1.28	5.76	1.66
Probability-Weighted 100%			4.40	6.33	7.02	9.13	4.34	3.73	

Figure 24: 3 Year Nominal Horizon Asset Returns under 11 scenarios

Figure 25: 10 Year Nominal Horizon Asset Returns under 11 scenarios

Scenarios			Year 10 Expected Nominal Horizon Return under 11 Scenarios						
No.	Inflation	Growth / potential	Probability	Australian equities (AE)	Developed markets hedged (DM,H)	Developed markets unhedged (DM,U)	Emerging markets (EM)	Australian fixed income (AFI)	Australian cash (AC)
1	High	High	5%	11.14	8.20	3.77	11.00	4.45	6.41
2	High	Medium	16%	8.48	5.28	6.08	7.63	4.26	5.69
3	High	Low	3%	4.50	2.44	5.65	5.82	3.64	5.15
4	Medium	High	12%	11.64	9.09	4.63	13.01	4.47	4.09
5	Medium	Medium	28%	8.85	6.74	7.56	10.32	3.99	3.58
6	Medium	Low	14%	7.79	4.67	7.95	8.53	3.78	3.14
7	Low	High	2%	11.81	9.20	4.73	11.79	4.55	2.21
8	Low	Medium	5%	8.79	6.53	7.35	9.83	4.05	1.80
9	Low	Low	10%	5.93	3.54	6.79	6.57	3.76	1.46
10	Stagflation	Stagflation	2%	1.17	-1.35	3.49	2.36	4.55	6.93
11	Crisis	Crisis	3%	0.77	-1.25	3.59	-3.28	3.57	0.63
Probability-Weighted 100%		8.33	5.76	6.43	8.92	4.06	3.76		

# 4.5 Appendix E: Detailed Portfolio Weights

Asset Weights	Reference target portfolio	Proposed portfolio	Deviation vs. reference
GROWTH ASSETS			
Active Australian Equities Portfolio	50%	50%	0%
Australian Equities in AA Portfolio	10% 5%		-5%
Total Australian Equities	60%	55%	-5%
Developed Markets, Hedged	10%	5%	-5%
Developed Markets, Unhedged	10%	10%	0%
Emerging Markets, Unhedged	0%	10%	+10%
Total International Equities	20%	25%	+5%
Total Growth Assets	80%	80%	0%
DEFENSIVE ASSETS			
Australian Fixed Income	15%	15%	0%
Australian Cash	5%	5%	0%
Total Defensive Assets	20%	20%	0%
TOTAL	100%	100%	0%

## Figure 26: Portfolio Weights – Detailed Breakdown

# 4.6 Appendix F: Macro Driver Assumptions and Inputs

# Figure 27: Inflation Paths

Scenario	Historical level (1992-present)	Historical probability (1992-present)	Forecast level	Forecast probability	
High	6.1%	23.0%	4.5%	24%	
Medium	3.0%	53.1%	2.5%	54%	
Low	1.6%	23.9%	1.0%	17%	
Stagflation			7.0%	2%	
Crisis			0.0%	3%	

## Figure 28: GDP/Potential Paths

Scenario	Historical level (1992-present)	Historical probability (1992-present)	Forecast level	Forecast probability
High	1.017	24.8%	1.015	19%
Medium	1.002	50.4%	0.995	49%
Low	0.989	24.8%	0.980	27%
Stagflation			0.950	2%
Crisis			0.935	3%



Figure 29: Historical inflation vs output gap (1970 - present)

Note: This chart shows the history for Australian inflation and output gap (GDP/Potential) commencing in 1970, when data for some asset class inputs becomes available. Inflation data is sourced from the Reserve Bank of Australia, and output gap data is sourced from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

# References

Australian Financial Review. (2023). What's up with the crumbling Australian dollar?

https://www.afr.com/policy/economy/australia-s-weak-dollar-posture-hits-the-danger-zone-20230901-p5e17q Australian Financial Review. (2023). Why the sense of Chinese economic malaise is deepening.

https://www.afr.com/companies/financial-services/why-the-sense-of-chinese-economic-malaise-is-deepening-20230828-p5dzxr

IMF (International Monetary Fund)(2023), *World Economic Outlook*, accessed 10 September 2023. <u>https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2023/07/10/world-economic-outlook-update-july-2023</u> Lazard Asset Management. (2023). *Outlook on Emerging Markets*.

https://www.lazardassetmanagement.com/research-insights/outlooks/emerging-markets

RBA (Reserve bank of Australia) (2023), *Statement on Monetary Policy*, accessed 11 September 2023. <u>Statement on Monetary Policy (rba.gov.au)</u>

Sivabalan, S. (2023). *Emerging Markets Will Withstand China's Economic Woes, Goldman Sachs Says.* Bloomberg Línea. <u>https://www.bloomberglinea.com/english/emerging-markets-will-withstand-chinas-economic-woes-goldman-sachs-says</u>

Venz, S. (2022). *AUD to USD forecast: Dollar could fall further amid US Recession Fears*. Forbes Advisor Australia. <u>https://www.forbes.com/advisor/au/investing/currencies/aud-to-usd-forecast-and-trends/</u>

# Contact details

SMF email: smf.rsfas@anu.edu.au SMF website: https://www.rsfas.anu.edu.au/rsfas-education/student-managed-fund/ SMF Facebook page: https://www.facebook.com/smfANU/ SMF LinkedIn page: https://www.facebook.com/company/anu-smf Research School of Finance, Actuarial Studies and Statistics College of Business and Economics +61 2 6125 4626 The Australian National University Canberra ACT 2600 Australia www.anu.edu.au CRICOS Provider No. 00120C